

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,775	09/875,775 06/05/2001		Ashvinkumar J. Sanghvi	MS1-591US	6246
22801	7590	04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
LEE & HA		-	SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A		
421 W RIVE SPOKANE.		VENUE SUITE 500 01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
22 2 -				2154	7
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	09/875,775	SANGHVI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammad A Siddiqi	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 March 2004.					
•—	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application Papers	thdrawn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call to be stated as the cal	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Applic e priority documents have been rece Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.						

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 and 39-45 are presented for examination. Claims 13-38 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4-12,39-41, and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Groath et al. (6,571,285) (hereinafter Groath).
- 4. As per claim 1, Groath discloses an event management system (col 12, lines 33-40) comprising:



Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/875,775

Art Unit: 2154

an email consumer configured to handle email messages (figure 2, and 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

a paging consumer configured to generate a page message messages (figure 2, and 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

an active scripting consumer configured to execute at least one script messages (figure 2, and 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

a log file consumer configured to record information in a log file messages (col 11, lines 35-40);

an event log consumer configured to log messages to an event log messages (figure 2, and 3, col 10, lines 41-63); and

a command line consumer configured to launch at least one process (col 19, lines 19-22)

- 5. As per claim 2, Groath discloses the email consumer is an SMTP consumer (col 140, lines 40-45).
- 6. As per claim 4, Groath discloses a forwarding consumer to forward events (col 10, lines 34-43).
- 7. As per claim 5, Groath discloses the email consumer sends an email message in response to receiving an event (col 10, lines 53-63).

Art Unit: 2154

8. As per claim 6, Groath discloses the paging consumer will page a telephone number with a message in response to receiving an event (col 10, lines 47-63).

Page 4

- 9. As per claim 7, Groath discloses the active scripting consumer executes a predefined script when an event is received by the active scripting consumer (col 10, lines 34-63).
- 10. As per claim 8, Groath discloses the log file consumer records information to a log file when an event is received by the log file consumer (col 10, lines 26-33).
- 11. As per claim 9, Groath discloses the event log consumer logs a message to an event log when an event is received by the event log consumer (col 10, lines 26-33).
- 12. As per claim 10, Groath discloses the command line consumer launches a process in response to receiving an event (col 49, lines 19-25).
- 13. As per claim 11, Groath discloses events in the event management system are represented as objects (col 119, lines 23-45).

Art Unit: 2154

- 14. As per claim 12, Groath discloses each consumer in the event management system is represented as a class (col 78, lines 5-10).
- 15. As per claim 39, Groath discloses computer system comprising: an event provider configured to generate events (col 2, lines 6-24);

an event consumer selected from a set of event consumers (fig 2, (col 2, lines 6-24) the event consumer being configured to perform an action in response to an occurrence of an event generated by the event provider (fig 2, (col 2, lines 6-24), the set of event consumers including:

an email consumer configured to send at least one email message (fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

a paging consumer configured to send at least one page message (fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63);

an active scripting (col 9, lines 48-67) consumer configured to execute at least one script (col 18, lines 65-67);

a log file consumer configured to record information in a log file (fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63 and col 11, lines 20-40);

an event log consumer configured to log at least one message to an event log (fig 3, col 10, lines 53-63 and col 11, lines 20-40); and

Application/Control Number: 09/875,775 Page 6

Art Unit: 2154

a command line consumer configured to launch at least one process (fig 5, col 18, lines 65-67, and col 19, lines 1-47); and

an event filter associated with the selected event consumer (col 12, lines 33-40), the event filter being configured to specify the event (col 12, lines 33-67) and, in response to the occurrence of the event (col 12, lines 33-67), to deliver information about the occurred event to the event consumer (col 12, lines 33-67).

- 16. As per claim 40, Groath discloses a second event consumer selected from the set of event consumers, wherein the event filter is further configured to deliver information about the occurred event to the second event consumer (col 12, lines 33-67).
- 17. As per claim 41,Groath discloses a second event filter associated with the event consumer, wherein the second event filter is configured to specify a second event and, in response to the occurrence of the second event, to deliver information about the occurred second event to the event consumer (fig 31, col 118, lines 1-67).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/875,775

Art Unit: 2154

18. As per claim 43, Groath discloses the event consumer includes an instance of a class (col 4, lines 12-22) associated with an application program (col 116, lines 56-67).

- 19. As per claim 44, Groath discloses the event filter (col 12, lines 33-67) includes an instance (col 116, lines 56-67) of a class associated with an application program (col 4, lines 12-22).
- 20. As per claim 45, Groath discloses computer-implemented method for responding to events, the computer-implemented method comprising:

Determining, by an event filter (col 12, lines 33-40), the occurrence of a specified event (col 12, lines 33-67);

delivering, by the event filter to an event consumer (col 12, lines 33-67), information about the specified event (col 12, lines 33-67); and

in response to receiving the information about the specified event (col 12, lines 33-67), performing, by the event consumer (col 12, lines 33-40), at least one action (col 11, lines 4-53) selected from:

sending an email message (col 10, lines 53-63);
sending a page message (col 10, lines 53-63);
executing a script (col 10, lines 53-63);
recording information in a log file (col 10, lines 53-63);

Art Unit: 2154

logging a message to an event log (col 10, lines 53-67; and launching a process (col 18, lines 65-67, col 19, lines 1-47).

Page 8

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 21. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 22. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groath et al. (6,571,285) (hereinafter Groath) in view of Murray (Windows NT Event Logging by James D. Murray published on September 1998).
- 23. As per claim 3, Groath discloses Event manager is installed on NT operating system (col 83, 48-56)

Groath is silent about the event log consumer is an NT event log consumer.

Art Unit: 2154

However, Murray discloses the event log consumer is an NT event log consumer (Chapter 2, Microsoft API's are available to interface with NT event log service).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention to use Windows NT event logging services, Windows NT logging service API 's are well tested, robust and provides automatic formatted viewing capability.

- 24. Claim 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groath et al. (6,571,285) (hereinafter Groath) in view of Network PC System Design Guidelines (version 1.0 b August 5, 1997) (hereinafter Network PC Article).
- 25. As per claim 42, Groath discloses wherein the event providers includes, Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) provider (col 12, line 60), Event manager installed on NT (col 83, 48-56), event log provider (col 11, lines 35-39).

Groath is silent about at least one of Win32 provider, Windows Driver Model (WDM) provider, registry provider, performance counter provider, active directory provider, Windows installer provider.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2154

However, Network PC Article discloses at least one of Win32 provider (page 6), Windows Driver Model (WDM) provider (page 6), registry provider (page 18), performance counter provider (page 111), active directory provider (page 111), Windows installer provider (page 130).

Page 10

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention to Network PC Article and Groath because it would provide the product with a baseline level of manageability and will offer greater certainty to information technology managers that specific steps have been taken to reduce total cost of ownership.

Response to Amendment

26. Applicant's arguments filed 03/24/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive:

In response to applicant's argument "Groath fails to discloses the actions performed by the command line consumer", the examiner respectfully disagrees. The Groath prior art teaches a command line consumer configured to launch at least one process (fig 8, col 18, lines 65-67, col 19, lines 1-47, by definition process is a particular course of action intended to achieve a result and unixremote.pl executes defined steps, shell definition: (1) The outermost layer of a program. *Shell* is another term for

Art Unit: 2154

Number: 09/875,775 Page 11

user interface. Operating systems and applications sometimes provide an alternative shell to make interaction with the program easier. For example, if the application is usually command driven, the shell might be a menu-driven system that translates the user's selections into the appropriate commands.

(2) Sometimes called *command shell*, a shell is the command processor interface. The command processor is the program that executes operating system commands. The shell, therefore, is the part of the command processor that accepts commands. After verifying that the commands are valid, the shell sends them to another part of the command processor to be executed), event consumer (fig 2-8). Therefore, limitations are met by the reference, claim 1 stands rejected.

In response to applicant's argument "Groath fails to disclose an NT event log consumer", the examiner respectfully disagrees. The Murray prior art teaches Event logging, event logging API, any event logging process on NT environment can use event logging API (chapter 2). Therefore, limitations are met by the reference. Claim 3 stands rejected.

Conclusion

27. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE**

Art Unit: 2154

FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A Siddiqi whose telephone number is (703) 305-0353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 12

Art Unit: 2154

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAS

ZARNI MAÚNG PRIMARY EXAMINER